



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,486	02/11/2002	John R. Martin	13267US02 6208		
7590 04/20/2006			EXAM	EXAMINER	
John J. Held			BAYAT, BRADLEY B		
McAndrews, I	Held & Malloy, Ltd.				
34th Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
500 West Madison Street Chicago, IL 60661			3621		
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary					
		10/073,486	MARTIN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	TI MAN IN COATE A CALL	Bradley B. Bayat	3621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Febru	uary 2, 2006.			
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da			

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

This communication is in response to remarks and amendment filed on February 2, 2006.

Claims 1-20 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shteyn et al. (hereinafter Shteyn), US 6,163,817.

1. Shteyn discloses an entertainment system comprising: multiple electronic sub-systems; and a single control subsystem coupled to the electronic subsystems, the control subsystem and the electronic subsystems providing functionality, the control subsystem exercising control over the electronic subsystems (columns 3-6; fig 1 and associated text). Shteyn does not explicitly disclose a game subsystem. However, Shteyn teaches that any electronic subsystem, including a jukebox or any software application can be coupled to a control subsystem (column 2, lines 34-

column 4, line 67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine any electronic subsystem with a control subsystem as taught by Shteyn in order to interconnect, coordinate and control the functionality of multiple devices and/or applications providing more efficiency in a multi-user environment (column 6, lines 9-41).

Page 3

- 2. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 1, wherein the control system is responsive to at least one mode determining switch for specifying a mode of operation for said entertainment system (columns 4-6).
- 3. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 1, wherein the control subsystem comprises a central processor for controlling operation of the game subsystem and the jukebox subsystem apparatus (column 3-5).
- 5. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 3, further comprising a data storage device coupled to said central processor, said data storage device storing digitized songs for the jukebox subsystem (column 4).
- 6. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 3, wherein the central processor is operative to play audio data streamed from a remote server while providing jukebox functionality (columns 4, 6).
- 7. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 3, further comprising a

Art Unit: 3621

communication interface for communicating with devices external to the entertainment system (column 3-4).

- 8. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 1, wherein the jukebox subsystem comprises an audio data decoder, an amplifier, and at least one speaker (columns 3-4).
- 10. Shteyn further discloses the entertainment system of claim 1, wherein the jukebox subsystem includes a jukebox interface physically separated from the entertainment system for allowing players to interact with the jukebox subsystem while other players interact with the game subsystem (column 6).

Claims 11, 13, 14, 16 and 17 are directed to a method of the system claims above and are rejected accordingly.

Claims 4, 9, 12, 15 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shteyn et al. (hereinafter Shteyn), US 6,163,817 in view of Miguel et al. (hereinafter Miguel), US 5,971,397.

As per claims 4, 9, 12 and 15, Shteyn discloses a method and system for providing an entertainment system having combination functionality, the method comprising: operating in a current mode of operation corresponding to one of a jukebox mode, and another electronic subsystem mode; receiving a mode command; and determining a next mode of operation based

Application/Control Number: 10/073,486

Art Unit: 3621

on the mode command, the next mode of operation corresponding to one of an electronic subsystem and a jukebox mode (columns 4-6). Although Shteyn discloses any electronic software application or device, it does not explicitly disclose a dart game.

Miguel, however, teaches an automated system for electronic dart machines configured to control various other electronic functionalities (column 3). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate Miguel's electronic dart game functionality as an electronic subsystem as disclosed in Shteyn, in order to minimize the overall number of system components necessary to provide entertainment.

As per claims 18-20, Shteyn discloses a method and system for providing an entertainment system having combined functionality, the method comprising: operating in a current mode of operation corresponding to one of at least two electronic subsystems; receiving a mode command; and determining a next mode of operation based on the mode command, the next mode of operation corresponding to one of a game mode and a jukebox mode (columns 4-6, see rejection above of claim 1). Although Shteyn discloses the use of any electronic subsystem, it does not explicitly disclose at least two electronic subsystems in a single unit housing.

Miguel, however, teaches an automated system for electronic dart machines configured to control various other electronic functionalities (column 3). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to incorporate Miguel's electronic dart game functionality as an electronic subsystem as disclosed in Shteyn, in order to minimize the overall number of system components necessary to provide entertainment.

It has been well settled that by providing a single unit or a housing for making integral structures disclosed in the prior art would be merely a matter of obvious engineering choice. *In*

re Larson, 144 USPQ 347, 349; 339 US 965 (CCPA 1965); In re Wolfe, 116 USPQ 443, 444; 251 F2d 854 (CCPA 1958). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Nathan's game, jukebox and control units in one housing as an obvious engineering choice in order to minimize use space for the entertainment system which is typically found in entertainment establishments and bars.

Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior arts of record in the body of this action for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant, in preparing the response, to consider fully the entire references as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior arts or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- US PATENT 5,341,350 to Frank et al.
- US PATENT 6,804,825 B1 to White et al.
- US PATENT 6,542,882 B1 to Smith.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley B. Bayat whose telephone number is 571-272-6704. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday 8 a.m.-6:30 p.m. and by email:

bradley.bayat@uspto.gov. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached regarding urgent matters at 571-272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 - Official communications; including After Final responses.

(571) 273-6704 - Informal/Draft communications to the examiner.

Bradley B. Bayat, Esq.

Art Unit 3621 571-272-6704

bradley.bayat@uspto.gov